



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

07/657,296

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/657,296	02/19/91	SCHATZ	R 1207.0008

BEN D. TOBOR  
11757 KATY FREEWAY, SUITE 1400  
HOUSTON, TX 77079

EXAMINER	
PREBILIC, P	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	23

DATE MAILED: 06/17/92

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

06/17/92

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Applicant's Rep: Ben Tobor (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Examiner: Paul Prebilic (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview June 15, 1992

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claim 1 to 6

Identification of prior art discussed: Palmarz ("417) which recently issued (April 7, 1992) and was subject of provisional obviousness rej. as 07/174,246

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant queried how to overcome provisional obviousness rej.; he suggested calling the present case a CIP of the 07/174,246 case; the examiner consulted other examiner's and a concencious was formed that, since there are different inventive entities, unobviousness of the presently claimed invention must be shown.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Paul Prebilic  
Examiner's Signature